

# Markscheme

November 2021

Philosophy

Higher level

Paper 3

© International Baccalaureate Organization 2021

All rights reserved. No part of this product may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without the prior written permission from the IB. Additionally, the license tied with this product prohibits use of any selected files or extracts from this product. Use by third parties, including but not limited to publishers, private teachers, tutoring or study services, preparatory schools, vendors operating curriculum mapping services or teacher resource digital platforms and app developers, whether fee-covered or not, is prohibited and is a criminal offense.

More information on how to request written permission in the form of a license can be obtained from <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

© Organisation du Baccalauréat International 2021

Tous droits réservés. Aucune partie de ce produit ne peut être reproduite sous quelque forme ni par quelque moyen que ce soit, électronique ou mécanique, y compris des systèmes de stockage et de récupération d'informations, sans l'autorisation écrite préalable de l'IB. De plus, la licence associée à ce produit interdit toute utilisation de tout fichier ou extrait sélectionné dans ce produit. L'utilisation par des tiers, y compris, sans toutefois s'y limiter, des éditeurs, des professeurs particuliers, des services de tutorat ou d'aide aux études, des établissements de préparation à l'enseignement supérieur, des fournisseurs de services de planification des programmes d'études, des gestionnaires de plateformes pédagogiques en ligne, et des développeurs d'applications, moyennant paiement ou non, est interdite et constitue une infraction pénale.

Pour plus d'informations sur la procédure à suivre pour obtenir une autorisation écrite sous la forme d'une licence, rendez-vous à l'adresse <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

© Organización del Bachillerato Internacional, 2021

Todos los derechos reservados. No se podrá reproducir ninguna parte de este producto de ninguna forma ni por ningún medio electrónico o mecánico, incluidos los sistemas de almacenamiento y recuperación de información, sin la previa autorización por escrito del IB. Además, la licencia vinculada a este producto prohíbe el uso de todo archivo o fragmento seleccionado de este producto. El uso por parte de terceros —lo que incluye, a título enunciativo, editoriales, profesores particulares, servicios de apoyo académico o ayuda para el estudio, colegios preparatorios, desarrolladores de aplicaciones y entidades que presten servicios de planificación curricular u ofrezcan recursos para docentes mediante plataformas digitales—, ya sea incluido en tasas o no, está prohibido y constituye un delito.

En este enlace encontrará más información sobre cómo solicitar una autorización por escrito en forma de licencia: <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

## How to use the Diploma Programme Philosophy markscheme

The assessment markbands constitute the formal tool for marking examination scripts, and in these assessment markbands examiners can see the skills being assessed in the examinations. The markschemes are designed to assist examiners in possible routes taken by candidates in terms of the content of their answers when demonstrating their skills of doing philosophy through their responses. The points listed are not compulsory points, and not necessarily the best possible points. They are a framework to help examiners contextualize the requirements of the question, and to facilitate the application of marks according to the assessment markbands listed on page 6.

It is important that examiners understand that the main idea of the course is to promote *doing* philosophy, and this involves activity and engagement throughout a two-year programme, as opposed to emphasizing the chance to display knowledge in a terminal set of examination papers. Even in the examinations, responses should not be assessed on how much candidates *know* as much as how they are able to use their knowledge in support of an argument, using the skills referred to in the various assessment markbands published in the subject guide, reflecting an engagement with philosophical activity throughout the course. As a tool intended to help examiners in assessing responses, the following points should be kept in mind when using a markscheme:

- The Diploma Programme Philosophy course is designed to encourage the skills of *doing* philosophy in the candidates. These skills can be accessed through reading the assessment markbands in the subject guide
- The markscheme does not intend to outline a model/correct answer
- The markscheme has an introductory paragraph which contextualizes the emphasis of the question being asked
- The bullet points below the paragraph are suggested possible points of development that should *not* be considered a prescriptive list but rather an indicative list where they might appear in the answer
- If there are names of philosophers and references to their work incorporated into the markscheme, this should help to give context for the examiners and does *not* reflect a requirement that such philosophers and references should appear in an answer: they are possible lines of development.
- Candidates can legitimately select from a wide range of ideas, arguments and concepts in service of the question they are answering, and it is possible that candidates will use material effectively that is *not* mentioned in the markscheme
- Examiners should be aware of the command terms for Philosophy as published on page 54 of the Philosophy subject guide when assessing responses
- In markschemes for Paper 3, there are suggested pertinent points found in the text extract relating to philosophical activity. The markschemes include suggested questions that might stimulate analysis of those points. It is not intended that all possible points raised by the text are to be covered by the candidates. The markbands direct examiners to rewarding the responses accordingly
- The markscheme bullet points cannot and are not intended to predict how a candidate will relate his or her personal experience of the DP HL Philosophy course to the text extract, so the examiner must be aware that much of the response of the candidate will *not* be covered by material in the markscheme; but the candidate's response must relate to the text extract.

**Paper 3 markbands**

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response is poorly structured, or where there is a recognizable structure there is minimal focus on the task. Philosophical vocabulary is not used, or is consistently used inappropriately.</li> <li>• There is a very basic understanding of the view of philosophical activity raised by the unseen text. Few, if any, references are made to the text.</li> <li>• There is limited reference to the student’s personal experience of philosophical activity but no comparison or contrast of this experience with the view(s) raised by the text.</li> <li>• The essay is descriptive and lacking in analysis. Few of the main points are justified.</li> </ul>
6–10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is some attempt to follow a structured approach although it is not always clear what the answer is trying to convey.</li> <li>• There is a limited understanding of the view(s) of philosophical activity raised by the text. Few, if any, references are made to the text.</li> <li>• There is some evidence that the student has drawn on their personal experience of philosophical activity.</li> <li>• The response identifies similarities and differences between the student’s personal experience of philosophical activity and the view(s) of philosophical activity presented in the text, although the analysis of these similarities and differences is superficial.</li> <li>• The response contains some analysis but is more descriptive than analytical. Some of the main points are justified.</li> </ul>
11–15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a clear attempt to structure the response, although there may be some repetition or a lack of clarity in places. Philosophical vocabulary is used, sometimes appropriately.</li> <li>• There is a satisfactory understanding of the view(s) of philosophical activity raised by the text. Some references are made to the text.</li> <li>• There is some evidence that the student has drawn on their personal experience of philosophical activity, with examples or illustrations used to support their points.</li> <li>• There is some analysis of the similarities and differences between the student’s personal experience of philosophical activity and the view(s) of philosophical activity presented in the text, although this analysis needs further development.</li> <li>• The response contains critical analysis rather than just description. Many of the main points are justified.</li> </ul>
16–20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response is well organized and can be easily followed. Philosophical vocabulary is used, mostly appropriately.</li> <li>• There is clear identification of the view(s) of philosophical activity presented in the unseen text. Some references are made to the text.</li> <li>• The student draws on their personal experience of philosophical activity, using examples or illustrations to support their points.</li> <li>• There is clear analysis of both similarities and differences between the student’s personal experience of philosophical activity and the view(s) of philosophical activity presented in the text, although this analysis needs further development.</li> <li>• The response contains critical analysis rather than just description. Most of the main points are justified. The response argues to a reasoned conclusion.</li> </ul>
21–25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response is well structured, focused and effectively organized. There is appropriate use of philosophical vocabulary throughout the response.</li> <li>• There is clear identification of the view(s) of philosophical activity presented in the unseen text. Effective references are made to the text.</li> <li>• The student draws explicitly on their personal experience of philosophical activity, using well-chosen examples or illustrations to support their points.</li> <li>• There is clear analysis of both similarities and differences between the student’s personal experience of philosophical activity and the view(s) of philosophical activity presented.</li> <li>• The response contains well developed critical analysis. All or nearly all of the main points are justified. The response argues to a reasoned conclusion.</li> </ul>

### Unseen text – exploring philosophical activity

When responding to this extract candidates should focus on the activity of philosophy. In the course of analysing and evaluating the ideas in the extract candidates should reflect on their own experience of doing philosophy, and should therefore make explicit comparisons/contrasts between their experience of studying the HL Philosophy course and what the extract is saying about doing philosophy. Candidates should make explicit reference to the ideas and arguments in the text in their response. **[25]**

Responses can be expected to explore the nature of philosophy through doing philosophy not only in the classroom but beyond in the context of everyday life. Reflection on doing philosophy may be identified as more than mere contemplation but pragmatic in terms of its application to issues that most people become acquainted with on a day to day basis. Consequently, this reflection allows ideas to continually be examined and thus be open to advancement, modification and even total change. Responses might proffer the view that the essence of doing philosophy actually enables revision of previously held beliefs, convictions and concepts of an individual. Responses might explore the implications of doing philosophy simply because philosophy differs in some way from most other subjects they have encountered in their studies. Candidates might explore aspects of their philosophy course in areas such as epistemology and the philosophical text(s) studied (eg: Plato's *Republic*). Some responses might develop an engagement with the complex issues arising in both the human and physical sciences encountered in the philosophy of science theme. Responses might engage with and discuss the sort of tools which philosophers use such as the reading of philosophical texts and themes from other philosophers both ancient and modern, logic, arguments, research, exploring underlying questions, dialectic debate, etc.

Candidates might consider the following:

- Doing philosophy fundamentally is to reason about the ultimate questions of life
  - Metaphysical questions might be identified and explored in the context of doing philosophy
  - Epistemological questions may be acknowledged and a recognition that these have also been at the centre of doing philosophy throughout its history; such questions as, what is knowledge? How is knowledge different from belief? Can we know anything without experience? Can we even be said to know anything at all?
  - The problems that emerge within the discipline of ethics (and moral philosophy overall) from both meta-ethical and normative perspectives
  - The role of critical thinking as a vital ingredient of doing philosophy
  - Analytical philosophy emphasizes logic, linguistic analysis and classifying of formal arguments and consequently identifying this school as a branch of science
  - The role of dialogue and the dialectic as ways of doing philosophy
  - How philosophy contributes to the quest of meaning
  - The continental schools of philosophy that embraces the analysis of the human condition and existential questions about freedom, anguish and death etc
  - The phenomenological approach to philosophy
  - The contribution of language to philosophical activity
  - The complexities reflected in human and physical sciences and explored within philosophy of science
  - Personal examples that candidates have experienced and explored in relation to the issues identified in the extract.
-